Please send this form to marine@crownestatescotland.com by close of business

31 August 2018. If you have any queries about the Discussion Document, or the feedback form, please email hannah.hendron@crownestatescotland.com or call

0131 260 6071 to speak to Hannah Hendron, Policy & Planning Manager.

Specific questions in the Discussion Document

Questions in the main document (pages 1-12)

Appendix 4: Summary of feedback request

We invite general comments on any aspect this Discussion Document.

We have also asked specific questions throughout the document which are listed below.

Please note that the deadline for responses is close of business on **31 August 2018**. We will publish a summary of responses received on our website. Responses will not be attributed.

A word document version of this form is available to download here.

			r	- •
Dochone	tant	· In	torma	tion
Respond	Jeili		ivilla	LIUI

Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?	Section 6 – New offshore wind leasing
Individual Organisation	Question 1: Do you support our approach of accepting applications at the Draft Sectoral Marine Plan stage or do you favour applications being made only when there is a final adopted Plan?
Name	
Organisation's name	
Phone number	
	Question 2: Do you have any comments on the timescales we have indicated?
Address	
Postcode	
Email	

Section 7 - Offshore wind in the wider context **Question 3:** What could be done which might result in the level and location of **Question 5:** Are there aspects of the provisional design of the leasing process project development interest aligning well with how the grid might be developed? which may be significant barriers to the establishment of cost effective projects and supporting supply chain? Question 4: Do you (potential applicants) anticipate being willing to include nonbinding information of this kind when making an application to Crown Estate Scotland, **Question 6:** Please provide us with your ideas on how wider benefits from the which could be shared? development of offshore wind might be realised.

Questions in Appendix 1 (pages 13-18) **Question 7:** Should an interval other than 24 months be adopted between cycles **Question 9:** Is a ceiling on application size required? If required, what area would be realistic to develop over a ten-year Option Agreement term? of leasing? Is there a more appropriate time gap? **Question 8:** Do you agree with our proposal to enable applicants to select site **Question 10:** What is your opinion of our proposed approach to Option boundary and size? Agreement fees?

Question 11: Do you agree that single-project Option Agreements are sufficient for areas of 150km² and below?	Question 13: Is it preferable that we define specific actions which the budget must be committed to, or will the milestone provide a suitable incentive by specifying the proportion of expenditure alone?
Question 12: What is the best combination of elapsed time and percentage commitment of development budget for Milestone 1?	Question 14: What is the earliest we might set a deadline for consent application that does not risk cancelling Option Agreements held by projects which could otherwise have been successful?

Question 15: Is a broad-brush approach to Milestone 1 (Commencement) viable for multi-project Option Agreements, or is it unlikely to be possible to select parameters which give worthwhile incentives whilst not posing undesirable risks	Question 17: Does profiling of rent along these lines provide a suitable incentive to commence operations in a timely manner?
to some potential developments?	
Question 16: Assuming two broad-brush milestones could be worthwhile, what is the best combination of elapsed time and percentage commitment of development budget for Milestones 1.1 and 1.2?	Questions in Appendix 2 (pages 19-25) Question 18: Do you think the information we are requesting at this stage is appropriate? Is there anything else you would expect to see on the above list to help us reach robust selection decisions? Please explain.

Question 19: What aspects of the plan for project delivery are most material in identifying applications which are likely to progress successfully?	Question 21: Should we try to reduce the chances of clustering occurring?
Question 20: What aspects of the project concept can be assessed, and in what level of detail, to determine the amount of operating capacity likely to result from an application?	Question 22: What is the correct minimum separation we should allow between projects? Please explain.

Question 23: Should we have a Clearing process? Please explain.	Question 25: Do you support us reserving the ability to limit dominant holdings of seabed	
Question 24: Is limiting the total seabed awarded in a cycle of leasing something we should consider? If we limit, what level should we consider (or what rationale	Question 26: Should a Refinement stage be included?	
should we consider adopting)?		

Question 27: What should be included in the scope of a Refinement stage?		