From:	@sainsburys.co.uk>	
Sent:	18 June 2015 11:33	
То:	@snh.gov.uk;	(Seal Protection Action Group); @rspca.org.uk);
Subject:	RE: SASWG	_

Morning All

I believe it would be worthwhile to reconvene to discuss how we continue to interact and to drive forward some of the benefits derived from our work so far. It's clear that we have reached a moment in time where we need to produce some tangible outcomes and decide on further workstreams as well as identifying appropriate vehicles (and potential funding streams) for these workstreams.

has kindly offered to host a meeting in Rosyth and I propose that we accept this offer and try for a meeting around mid to late August?

For the agenda, I'd suggest we look at 3 key areas –

- 1. Production of best practice guidance material incorporating local management element (or perhaps that becomes a follow on workstream)
- 2. Innovation what is in the pipeline and how do we stimulate innovation in the area (we need a vehicle for this as we have discussed in the past)
- 3. Hotspot analysis specific understanding of why some sites need to shoot. Obviously this will require data from individual companies or via Marine Scotland and a resource to facilitate the analysis.

There are obviously other areas of interest such as generating understanding of mode of interaction, etc, but I think this is secondary to the points above.

Grateful for comments, suggestions, etc as always.

Thanks



From: Sent: 02 June 2015 14:02	@st-andrews.ac.uk]		
To:	@thecrownestate.co @snh.gov.uk;	@rspca.org	(Seal Protection g.uk); J
Subject: SASWG		I	

This message was sent to you from an address outside of Sainsbury's and the attachment may contain macros which can be used to infect your computer with a virus.

If you do not know the sender and are not expecting this message do not open the attachment, instead contact info.security@sainsburys.co.uk

Dear All -

I should begin by apologising that I have been very short of time so far this year and have failed to chase people as I no doubt should have done.

However, I have also been wondering about how much enthusiasm there is among group members for it to continue?

I circulated minutes of the meeting of 28th August on October 17th. I have not had any comments. These are attached again in case you had lost them. I have extracted the action points from the minutes and also attach these separately.

As far as A.P.s are concerned, so far I have only heard from to graphs of these data. (25/3/15) about the latest licence figures and a link

I emailed the group on 16th March canvassing for ideas for an agenda for our next meeting – but have only had one reply to that so far.

I phoned a few people in April asking if they thought the group should continue and the general response from the four or five people I spoke to was 'yes'. However, for this to happen I think we will need more engagement. I would say that a great deal of progress on the issue has been achieved in the past few years — and I think we all agree that this is in some part due to the work of the Group.

However, when re-reading the minutes we do seem to be ploughing over the same ground a great deal. Primarily we have been seeking (1) to understand why seals get shot or- what are the circumstances of the 'last resorts' and what factors lead to some sites shooting while others do not; (2) to support the ongoing Genuswave saga; and (3) to discuss results from new netting material trials.

Our working group established a ranked list of 11 'action areas' as circulated last year (minutes of that working group are attached again). But almost all of these, 'actions' are dependent on industry wishing to do something, and hopefully to report back. Despite going through this process and identifying these priorities little appears to have happened over the last year and I cannot see what the group can do to move this along, other than advocate research, development or testing in these areas.

I have heard on the grapevine of several other trials and experiments being done at farm sites to try to reduce seal predation – but these are generally not being fed back to or discussed by the group (with some exceptions of course). It might be useful for those with more direct contacts and insights into the industry than I to suggest ways of improving information flow or encouraging other company involvement. It would be good if the group could help to share best or new practice ideas among companies.

Apologies if this is sounding a bit negative, but I need to get a steer from the group as to what the Group feels it can do now to meet its remit.

Finally – I have mentioned to some of you that after a recent (Easter) flurry of press activity on the issue of seal shooting, I was approached by the "Waitrose & Aquascot farmed fin fish supply partnership" asking if they could become a member of the group. Ally tells me they have links to producers in the Northern Isles; I think they are essentially a processing and marketing organisation rather than a producer – but perhaps someone can correct me. I would like to know if I can extend an invitation to them to join the group, assuming there is sufficient interest in continuing, and we can come up with some new items or completed action points for a meeting.

Please let me know your thoughts.

Sea Mammal Research Unit University of St Andrews Bute Building Queens Terrace



This footnote confirms that this email message has been swept by Sainsbury's Systems for the presence of computer viruses.

You can live well for less than you thought at Sainsbury's based on price perception data.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager (postmaster@sainsburys.co.uk) and delete it from your system.

J Sainsbury plc (185647 England) Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd (3261722 England) Registered Offices: 33 Holborn, London, EC1N 2HT